	Case 2:22-cv-01260-APG-EJY	Document 45	Filed 03/17/25	Page 1 of 4			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MAXIMILIEN D. FETAZ, ESQ., Northern Manager Ma	IV Bar No. 16192 SCHRECK, LLF					
8	UNIT		STRICT COURT				
9		DISTRICT OF	NEVADA				
10	WALTER CLARK		CASE NO. 2:22-cv	v-01260-APG-EJY			
11 12	Plaintiff, v.		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES				
13							
14	Defendants.		(112 (0201)			
15	Plaintiff Walter Clark ("Pla	aintiff"), by and tl	nrough his undersig	ned counsel of record, and			
16	Defendants Lansen De Costa, Salv	, -					
17	Plaintiff, the "Parties"), by and the		`	C			
18	extend the discovery in Scheduling	_	_	-			
19	I. PROCEDURAL POSTU		,,				
20			omplaint on Septen	nber 30, 2024. ECF No. 37.			
21	2. Defendants filed their Answer on October 21, 2024. ECF No. 38.						
22	3. Under FRCP 26(f) and LR 26-1(a), the Parties conferred via telephone, later						
23	submitting a joint proposed discovery plan and scheduling order. <i>See</i> ECF No. 40.						
24	4. This Court adopted the Parties' proposal, entering the governing scheduling order						
25	("Scheduling Order") on December 3, 2024. ECF No. 41.						
26	5. The Scheduling Order sets forth the following discovery deadlines:						
27	a. Initial disclosures: December 12, 2024						
28		gs/add parties: Jar					
	32939420.5	-	-				

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
Attorneys at Law
2004 NGchit GiyyPerk Kiway, Swite: 35800
Los Lass gelegas CN 90036736 007

OWNSTEIN HIALL FANDEN SCHNECK, DE	Attorneys at Law	100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600	Las Vegas, NV 89106	
2				

1			c. Expert disclosures: February 17, 2025
2			d. Rebuttal expert disclosures: March 19, 2025
3			e. Discovery cutoff: April 18, 2025
4			f. Dispositive motions: May 19, 2025
5			g. Joint pretrial order: June 18, 2025
6			h. Pursuant to LR 26-6, the Parties hereby respectfully request that the remaining
7			deadlines be extended by three months for the reasons outlined below.
8	II.	STAT	TUS OF DISCOVERY
9		6.	The Parties made their initial disclosures in December 2024, pursuant to FRCP
10	26(a)((1)(A).	
11		7.	The Parties have been reviewing the initial disclosures, but the review is ongoing.
12	III.	REM	AINING DISCOVERY TO BE COMPLETED
13		8.	The remaining discovery in this action includes
14			a. Written discovery, including FRCP 33 interrogatories, FRCP 34 requests for
15			production, and FRCP 36 requests for admission on all Parties, and any follow
16			up as needed or necessary;
17			b. Potential fact depositions;
18			c. FRCP 45 document subpoenas to third parties with relevant knowledge of
19			Plaintiff's claims, allegations, and damages as well as Defendants' defenses;
20			d. Potential third party depositions; and,
21			e. Preparation of supplemental responses to written discovery and supplemental
22			disclosure statements, as necessary.

disclosure statements, as necessary.

9. This summary of discovery completed and remaining is not intended to be limiting but is to set forth to advise the Court of the remaining discovery that is anticipated in this matter in accordance with L.R. 26-3.

REASONS THE PARTIES REQUEST AN EXTENSION IV.

Plaintiff's counsel faced challenges speaking to their client in the early months of 10. litigation.

32939420.5

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11.	According t	o staff a	High	Desert	State	Prison	(where	Plaintiff	was	housed)
Plaintiff's cou	nsel were una	ıble to scł	edule 1	phone ca	alls du	e to a ch	ange in	prison po	licy.	

- 12. On February 27, 2025, Plaintiff's counsel were able to speak to the Plaintiff.
- 13. Plaintiff indicated that he had been transferred to a different facility, and this new facility permits attorneys to schedule calls with their clients.
- 14. The inability to discuss certain matters with the Plaintiff has delayed the document review process, as well as the written discovery and deposition plans.
- 15. Due to recent departures in his office, On March 3, 2025, Counsel for defendants was assigned eight new cases that were in all states of litigation that required his immediate attention.

V. REQUESTED EXTENSION

- 16. A scheduling order "may be modified only for good cause and with the judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4).
- 17. A stipulation "to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan must be received by the court no later than 21 days before the expiration of the subject deadline" and "must be supported by a showing of good cause." LR 26-3.
- 18. LR IA 6-1 provides that "[a] motion or stipulation to extend time must state the reasons for the extension requested and must inform the court of all previous extensions of the subject deadline the court granted."
- 19. There is good cause to extend the discovery deadlines in this matter given (a) the difficulties Plaintiff's counsel have faced trying to speak to the Plaintiff, (b) the need for written discovery, and (c) the need to conduct depositions if they become necessary based on the written discovery responses.
 - 20. This is the first request for an extension of the discovery deadlines.
- 21. This stipulation is submitted well before the 21-day deadline under LR 26-3 to extend the deadline for the close of discovery.
- 22. Because all Parties stipulate to this requested extension, no Party will be prejudiced by extending discovery as requested.

32939420.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

23.	Nor is this sti	nulation made	e with undue	delay, dilator	v motive.	or bad faith.
45.	1101 15 11115 511	pulation made	o with anade	aciay, amator	y mount of,	or oud faith.

- 24. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff and Defendant stipulate that the discovery deadlines be extended by three months, with the new deadlines to be as follows¹:
 - a. Discovery cutoff: June 17, 2025
 - b. Dispositive motions: July 18, 2025
 - c. Joint pretrial order: August 18, 2025

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED this 17th day of March, 2025.

DATED this 17th day of March, 2025.

Maximilien D. Fetaz Maximilien D. Fetaz, Esq. Madyson B. Bathke, Esq. BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614

Attorneys for Plaintiff Walter Clark

Nathan M. Claus

Aaron D. Ford, Esq. Attorney General Nathan M. Claus, Esq. Deputy Attorney General STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 486-7629

Attorneys for Defendants

Facsimile: (702) 486-3773

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: Marcy 17, 2025

¹ Dates falling on the weekend or a holiday have been moved to the following business day. 32939420.5